

Wesely, page 2230, this is the amendment we are talking about? Senator Barrett said it was. I would presume he...since you two have been working on it. This is what concerns me and that is, are we doing away with the whole sections of law? I don't think it is intentional but this amendment says strike the original sections, there are two sections to this bill, and all amendments thereto. So that means strike all the committee amendments or any other amendments we have adopted, and insert the following new sections. So all of this amendment then becomes the bill?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Yes, and what striking all the sections refers to are the sections of the bill, not the sections of the statute that the bill would amend. Does that answer your question, Senator Higgins?

SENATOR HIGGINS: So this is the whole bill, this amendment in the Journal.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Yes, that's right, and when the amendment says strike the original sections, it means the original sections of LB 213.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Right, right, that's what I'm...that is the way I interpreted it.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Not the sections of the statute LB 213 would amend.

SENATOR HIGGINS: But in this when they go on, on page...or line 7 of the amendment, it says 48-801 as used in Sections 48-801 to 48-823. I guess it is the same as the bill itself then, except for the new language.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Yes, all that would become the bill, that amendment would become the bill.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Okay, thank you, Senator. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Lynch, did you wish to speak again? Okay. Now, Senator Wesely, would you like to close?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes, I'd just ask you to support the motion to return.